Privacy Law and Decision Making

Sixth Floor St James Hall Chambers NSW COAT State Conference 14 September 2012

Introduction

- Are the concepts of 'open justice' and privacy in conflict?
- Concept of 'open justice'
 - Justice being seen to be done
 - "Publicity is the very sole of justice" (Bentham)

Privacy

- Privacy and values
- Types of privacy
 - Physical
 - Property
 - Information
 - Relationships
- Cultural specific and changeable
- Modern media and communication of personal information

Privacy and legal rights

- Human Rights
 - Article 17 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights:
 No one shall be subjected to arbitrary or unlawful interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to unlawful attacks on his honour or reputation
- Limited Australian common law protections
 - Victoria Park Racing and Recreation Grounds Co Ltd v Taylor
 (1937) 58 CLR 479
 - McManus v Scott-Charlton (1996) 140 ALR 625
 - Australian Broadcasting Corporation v Lenah Game Meats Pty Ltd (2001) 208 CLR 199

Privacy and litigation strategy

- Acknowledge different issues and agendas concerning privacy for:
 - Litigants (applicants v respondents)
 - Witnesses and third parties
 - Experts
 - Legal representatives
 - Tribunals

Pre- hearing

- First stage in collection of personal information
 - what is required from the parties?
 - who has access to information at that point?
 - compulsory powers to summons information or persons?
- Role of initial complaint handling bodies
- Conciliation and mediation conducted in confidence and in private

Pre hearing restrictions

- Before evidence is tendered and tested
 - Suppression of names or other information
 - Confidentiality orders and undertakings
 - Is privacy a relevant discretionary consideration?
- Court Suppression and Non-publication Orders Act 2010 (NSW):
 s 8
 - (a) prevent prejudice to the proper administration of justice
 - (b) prevent prejudice to national or international security,
 - (c) protect the safety of any person,
 - (d) avoid causing undue distress or embarrassment to a party to or witness in criminal proceedings involving an offence of a sexual nature (including an act of indecency)
 - (e) in the public interest for the order to be made and that public interest significantly outweighs the public interest in open justice.
- Rinehart v Welker and Ors [2011] NSWCA 345

Other specific laws

- Family law -s 121 Family Law Act 1975 (Cth)
- Child protection -s 29(1)(f) and s 105 *Children and Young Persons* (Care and Protection) Act 1998, and s 25 Status of Children Act 1996
- Minors –s 43(5) Minors (Property and Contracts) Act 1970
- Health -Sch 2, cl 7 of the Mental Health Act 2007 and s 35 of the Public Health Act 1991.

Hearing

- Public hearing
- When are in camera hearings appropriate on the ground of privacy?
 - Ashton v Pratt [2011] NSWSC 1092
- When should non-publication orders be made or use of pseudonyms
- Media reporting and litigants use of social media during a hearing
 - UK Lord Chief Justice Guidance on Live, Text-Based Communications from Court (2011)

Post hearing

- Access to tribunal files following hearing
- Settlements and confidential agreements
- NSW Supreme Court Identity theft prevention and anonymisation policy (2010)
 - transcript
 - other records

Decision writing and privacy

- Requirement to provide reasons and the issue of 'adequate reasons'
- Exposure to an appeal or rehearing if reasons are not adequate.
- Is the privacy of any person relevant to decision writing?
- Should the ready access to tribunal decisions restrain discussion of personal information?
 - Google search v 'who do you think you are'?
 - personal v public interest in tribunal record

Include or omit?

- If there is a genuine concern about identity theft or privacy – consider the relevance of the personal information
 - Address, location

- Key dates in a person's life
- Ancestry or description of family
- Identification passport, licences etc
- Consider other ways of expressing the information
 - General references/ descriptions
 - Reference to exhibits or other sources

Concluding thoughts

- Concepts of privacy continue to evolve
- Importance of open justice and transparent processes
- UK "superinjunctions" and use of the judicial process to protect privacy or evade public scrunity
- Integrity of the process and public confidence in the tribunal system